arXiv:1208.1337v2 [math.NT] 13 Aug 2012

BOUNDING j-INVARIANT OF INTEGRAL POINTS ON MODULAR CURVES

MIN SHA

Abstract. In this paper, we give some effective bounds for the j-invariant of integral points on arbitrary modular curves over arbitrary number fields assuming that the number of cusps is not less than 3.

1. Introduction

For a positive integer N, let $X(N)$ be the principal modular curve of level N. Let G be a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ containing -1, and let X_G be the corresponding modular curve. We denote by $\det G$ the image of G under the determinant map $\det : \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \to (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$. This curve is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)^{\det G}$, where $\zeta_N = e^{2\pi i/N}$. So in particular it is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ if $\det G = (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$. We denote by j the standard j-invariant function on X_G. We use the standard notation ν_∞ for the number of cusps of X_G.

Let K_0 be a number field containing $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)^{\det G}$. Then X_G is defined over K_0. Let S_0 be a finite set of absolute values of K_0, containing all the Archimedean valuations and normalized with respect to $\mathbb{Q}$. We call a K_0-rational point $P \in X_G(K_0)$ an S_0-integral point if $j(P) \in \mathcal{O}_{S_0}$, where $\mathcal{O}_{S_0}$ is the ring of S_0-integers in K_0.

By classical Siegel's finiteness theorem [24], X_G has only finitely many S_0-integral points when X_G has positive genus or $\nu_\infty \geq 3$. But the existing proofs of Siegel's theorem are not effective, that is they don't provide with any effective bounds for the j-invariant of S_0-integral points.

Since 1995, Yuri Bilu and his collaborators have succeeded in getting effective Siegel's theorem for various classes of modular curves. Bilu [7, Proposition 5.1] showed that the j-invariant of the S_0-integral points of X_G can be effectively bounded provided that $\nu_\infty \geq 3$, but there was no quantitative version therein. Afterwards, Bilu [9, Theorem 10] proved that the j-invariant of integral points of $X_0(N)$ could be effectively bounded if $N \notin \{1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 13\}$, and Bilu and Illengo [10] obtained similar results for "almost every" modular curve. But they still gave no quantitative results.

By using Runge's method, the first explicit bound for the j-invariant of the S_0-integral points of X_G was given in [11, Theorem 1.2] when X_G satisfies "Runge condition". When G is the normalizer of a split Cartan subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$, where p is a prime number, this bound can be sharply reduced, see [11, Theorem 6.1] and [12, Theorem 1.1]. In particular, the authors in [11, 12, 13] showed various and interesting applications of these bounds, such as rational points of modular curves [11, 13], Serre's uniformity problem in Galois representation [12], and so on.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11G18, 11J86; Secondary 11G16, 11G50.
Key words and phrases. modular curves, integral points, j-invariant, Baker's method.
The author is supported by China Scholarship Council.

Most recently, without Runge condition and by using Baker's method, Bajolet and Sha [5] gave an explicit bound for the j-invariant of integral points on $X_{\rm ns}^{+}(p)$, which is the modular curve of a prime level p corresponding to the normalizer of a non-split Cartan subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$, $p \geq 7$. Furthermore, a general method for computing integral points on $X_{\rm ns}^{+}(p)$ is developped in [4].

In this paper, we apply Baker's method, based on Matveev [22] and Yu [27], to obtain some effective bounds for j-invariant of the integral points on X_G without assuming Runge condition but assuming that $\nu_\infty \geq 3$.

We denote by $\mathrm{h}(\cdot)$ the usual absolute logarithmic height. For $P \in X_G(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$, we write $\mathrm{h}(P) = \mathrm{h}(j(P))$. Now we would like to state the main results.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $K_0 \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$, N is not a power of any prime, $\nu_\infty \geq 3$, and S_0 only consists of infinite places. Then for any S_0-integral point P on X_G, we have*

$$\mathrm{h}(P) < C^{\varphi(N)} N^{5\varphi(N)+12} (\log N)^{4\varphi(N)-3},$$

where C is an absolute effective constant and $\varphi(N)$ is the Euler's totient function.

Actually, we obtain a more general Theorem 1.2 below, which applies to any number field and any ring of S_0-integers in it.

Put $d_0 = [K_0 : \mathbb{Q}]$ and $s_0 = |S_0|$. We define the following quantity

$$\Delta = d_0^{-d_0} \sqrt{N^{d_0 N} |D_0|^N} \left(\log(N^{d_0 N} |D_0|^N)\right)^{d_0 N} \left(\prod_{\substack{v \in S_0 \\ v \nmid \infty}} \log \mathcal{N}_{K_0/\mathbb{Q}}(v) \right)^N, \tag{1.1}$$

where D_0 is the absolute discriminant of K_0, and the norm of a finite place is, by definition, the absolute norm of the corresponding prime ideal. We denote by p the maximal rational prime below S_0, with the convention $p = 1$ if S_0 consists only of the infinite places.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that N is not a power of any prime and $\nu_\infty \geq 3$. Then for any S_0-integral point P on X_G, we have*

$$\mathrm{h}(P) \leq \left(C d_0 s_0 N^2\right)^{2 s_0 N} (\log(d_0 N))^3 p^{d_0 N} \Delta,$$

where C is an absolute effective constant.

The situation is different when N is a prime power, see Section 7. In this case we define

$$M = \begin{cases} 2N & \text{if } N \text{ is not a power of } 2, \\ 3N & \text{if } N \text{ is a power of } 2. \end{cases}$$

Notice that X_G is also a modular curve of level M.

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that N is a power of some prime and $\nu_\infty \geq 3$. Then for any S_0-integral point P on X_G, we can get two upper bounds for $\mathrm{h}(P)$ by replacing N by M in Theorem 1.1 and 1.2.*

2. Notations and conventions

Through out this paper, log stands for two different objects without confusion according to the context. One is the principal branch of the complex logarithm, in this case will use the following estimate without special reference

$$|\log(1+z)| \leq \frac{|\log(1-r)|}{r}|z|,$$

for $|z| \leq r < 1$, see [11, Formula (4)]. The other one is the p-adic logarithm function, for example see [19, Chapter IV Section 2].

Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the Poincaré upper half-plane: $\mathcal{H} = \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} : \mathrm{Im}\tau > 0\}$. For $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$, put $q_\tau = e^{2\pi i\tau}$. We also put $\bar{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H} \cup \mathbb{Q} \cup \{i\infty\}$. If Γ is the pullback of $G \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, then the set $X_G(\mathbb{C})$ of complex points is analytically isomorphic to the quotient $\bar{\mathcal{H}}/\Gamma$, supplied with the properly defined topology and analytic structure. Moreover, the modular invariant j defines a non-constant rational function on X_G, whose poles are exactly the cusps. See any standard reference like [21, 23] for all the missing details.

For $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Q}^2$, we put $\ell_{\mathbf{a}} = B_2(a_1 - \lfloor a_1 \rfloor)/2$, where $B_2(T) = T^2 - T + \frac{1}{6}$ is the second Bernoulli polynomial. Obviously $|\ell_{\mathbf{a}}| \leq 1/12$, this will be used without special reference.

Let $\mathcal{A}_N$ be the subset of abelian group $(N^{-1}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z})^2$ consisting of the elements with exact order N. Obviously,

$$|\mathcal{A}_N| = N^2 \prod_{p|N} (1 - p^{-2}) < N^2,$$

the product runs through all primes dividing N. Moreover we always choose a representative element of $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2) \in (N^{-1}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z})^2$ satisfying $0 \leq a_1, a_2 < 1$. So in the sequel for every $\mathbf{a} \in (N^{-1}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z})^2$, we have $\ell_{\mathbf{a}} = B_2(a_1)/2$.

Throughout this paper, we fix an algebraic closure $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ of $\mathbb{Q}$, which is assumed to be a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$. Every number field used in this paper is presumed to be a subfield of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$.

For a number field K, we denote by M_K the set of all valuations (or places) of K extending the standard infinite and p-adic valuations of $\mathbb{Q}$: $|2|_v = 2$ if $v \in M_K$ is infinite, and $|p|_v = p^{-1}$ if v extends the p-adic valuation of $\mathbb{Q}$. We denote by M_K^∞ and M_K^0 the subsets of M_K consisting of the infinite (Archimedean) and the finite (non-Archimedean) valuations, respectively.

Given a number field K of degree d, for any $v \in M_K$, K_v is the completion of K with respect to the valuation v and $\bar{K}_v$ its algebraic closure. We still denote by v the unique extension of v in $\bar{K}_v$. Let $d_v = [K_v : \mathbb{Q}_v]$ be the local degree of v.

For a number field K of degree d, the absolute logarithmic height of an algebraic number $\alpha \in K$ is defined by $\mathrm{h}(\alpha) = d^{-1} \sum_{v \in M_K} d_v \log^+ |\alpha|_v$, where $\log^+ |\alpha|_v = \log \max\{|\alpha|_v, 1\}$.

Throughout the paper the symbol $\ll$ implies an absolute effective constant. We also use the notation $O_v(\cdot)$. Precisely, $A = O_v(B)$ means that $|A|_v \leq B$.

3. Preparations

3.1. **Siegel functions.** Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathbb{Q}^2$ be such that $\mathbf{a} \notin \mathbb{Z}^2$, and let $g_{\mathbf{a}} : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ be the corresponding *Siegel function*, see [20, Section 2.1]. We have the following infinite product presentation for $g_{\mathbf{a}}$, see [11, Formula (7)],

$$g_{\mathbf{a}}(q_\tau) = -q_\tau^{B_2(a_1)/2} e^{\pi i a_2(a_1-1)} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - q_\tau^{n+a_1} e^{2\pi i a_2})(1 - q_\tau^{n+1-a_1} e^{-2\pi i a_2}).$$

For the elementary properties of $g_{\mathbf{a}}$, please see [20, Pages 27-31]. Especially, the order of vanishing of $g_{\mathbf{a}}$ at $i\infty$ (i.e., the only rational number ℓ such that the limit $\lim_{\tau \to i\infty} q_\tau^{-\ell} g_{\mathbf{a}}$ exists and is non-zero) is equal to $\ell_{\mathbf{a}}$.

For a number field K and $v \in M_K$, we define $g_{\mathbf{a}}(q)$ as the above, where $q \in \bar{K}_v$ satisfies $|q|_v < 1$. Notice that here we should fix $q^{1/(12N^2)} \in \bar{K}_v$, then everything is well defined.

Given two positive integers k and ℓ, we denote by P_k the set of partitions of k into positive summands, and let $p_\ell(k)$ be the number of partitions of k into exactly ℓ positive summands. By [3, Theorem 14.5], we easily get

$$|P_k| < e^{k/2}, \quad k \geq 64.$$

Then according to the table of partitions or computer calculations, we can obtain

$$|P_k| < e^{k/2}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}_N$. If $q \in \bar{K}_v$ satisfies $|q|_v < 1$, then we have*

$$-q^{-\ell_{\mathbf{a}}} \gamma_{\mathbf{a}}^{-1} g_{\mathbf{a}}(q) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_{\mathbf{a}}(k) q^{k/N},$$

where

$$\gamma_{\mathbf{a}} = \begin{cases} e^{\pi i a_2(a_1-1)} & \text{if } a_1 \neq 0, \\ e^{-\pi i a_2}(1 - e^{2\pi i a_2}) & \text{if } a_1 = 0; \end{cases}$$

and

$$\phi_{\mathbf{a}}(k) = \sum_{\ell \in S^1_{\mathbf{a}k}} m_\ell (-e^{2\pi i a_2})^\ell + \sum_{\ell \in S^2_{\mathbf{a}k}} m'_\ell (-e^{-2\pi i a_2})^\ell + \sum_{\ell \in S^3_{\mathbf{a}k}} \sum_{(\ell_1,\ell_2) \in T^\ell_{\mathbf{a}k}} m_{\ell_1\ell_2} (-e^{2\pi i a_2})^{\ell_1} (-e^{-2\pi i a_2})^{\ell_2},$$

where $S^1_{\mathbf{a}k}$, $S^2_{\mathbf{a}k}$ and $S^3_{\mathbf{a}k}$ are three subsets of $\{1, 2, \cdots, \lfloor k/N \rfloor + 1\}$, $T^\ell_{\mathbf{a}k}$ is a subset of $\{(\ell_1, \ell_2) : 1 \leq \ell_1, \ell_2 \leq \lfloor k/N \rfloor + 1, \ell_1 + \ell_2 = \ell\}$, and $m_\ell, m'_\ell, m_{\ell_1\ell_2}$ are some positive integers. In particular, we have

$$|\phi_{\mathbf{a}}(k)|_v \leq e^k.$$

Proof. In this proof, we fix an integer $k \geq 1$.

Suppose that $a_1 = k_1/N$ with $0 \leq k_1 \leq N-1$. Let $S_1 = \{nN + k_1 : 0 \leq n \leq \lfloor k/N \rfloor, 0 < nN + k_1 \leq k\}$ and $S_2 = \{nN + N - k_1 : 0 \leq n \leq \lfloor k/N \rfloor, nN + N - k_1 \leq k\}$. It is easy to see that if $k_1 = 0$ or $N/2$, then $S_1 = S_2$; otherwise $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$.

Notice that the coefficient of $q^{k/N}$ equals to the coefficient of q^k in the expansion of the following finite product,

$$\prod_{n \in S_1} (1 - q^n e^{2\pi i a_2}) \prod_{n \in S_2} (1 - q^n e^{-2\pi i a_2}). \tag{3.1}$$

If S_1 and S_2 are both empty, then the coefficient equals to 0.

We say $\ell \in S^1_{\mathbf{a}k}$ if and only if there exist ℓ positive integers in S_1 such that the sum of them equals to k, and let m_ℓ count the number of different ways. Similarly for the definitions of $S^2_{\mathbf{a}k}$ and m'_ℓ.

We say $\ell \in S^3_{\mathbf{a}k}$ if and only if there exist ℓ_1 positive integers in S_1 and ℓ_2 positive integers in S_2 such that the sum of them equals to k, then $(\ell_1, \ell_2) \in T^{\ell}_{\mathbf{a}k}$ and let $m_{\ell_1\ell_2}$ count the number of different ways.

Then the desired expression of $\phi_{\mathbf{a}}(k)$ follows easily from the definitions.

For each element $x \in P_k$, let m_x be the number of the times of x appearing in the expansion of (3.1). Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|\phi_{\mathbf{a}}(k)|_v &\leq \sum_{\ell\in S^1_{\mathbf{a}k}} m_\ell + \sum_{\ell\in S^2_{\mathbf{a}k}} m'_\ell + \sum_{\ell\in S^3_{\mathbf{a}k}} \sum_{(\ell_1,\ell_2)\in T^{\ell}_{\mathbf{a}k}} m_{\ell_1\ell_2} \\ &= \sum_{x\in P_k} m_x.\end{aligned}$$

If $k_1 \neq 0$ and $N/2$, then $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$. So for each $x \in P_k$, we have $m_x = 0$ or 1. Hence,

$$\sum_{x\in P_k} m_x \leq |P_k| < e^{k/2}.$$

If $k_1 = 0$ or $N/2$, then $S_1 = S_2$. Suppose that $\lfloor k/N \rfloor \geq 3$. Given $x \in P_k$ with ℓ entries, if $\ell \leq \lfloor k/N \rfloor$, then we have $m_x \leq 2^\ell$; otherwise we have $m_x = 0$. Hence,

$$\sum_{x\in P_k} m_x \leq \sum_{\ell\leq\lfloor k/N\rfloor} 2^\ell p_\ell(k) \leq 2^{\lfloor k/N\rfloor}|P_k| < e^k.$$

If $\lfloor k/N \rfloor \leq 2$, one can verify the inequality by explicit computations. □

3.2. Modular units on $X(N)$.

Recall that by a modular unit on a modular curve we mean that a rational function having poles and zeros only at the cusps.

For $\mathbf{a} \in (N^{-1}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z})^2$, we denote $g^{12N}_{\mathbf{a}}$ by $u_{\mathbf{a}}$, which is a modular unit on $X(N)$. Moreover, we have $u_{\mathbf{a}} = u_{\mathbf{a}'}$ when $\mathbf{a} \equiv \mathbf{a}' \bmod \mathbb{Z}^2$. Hence, $u_{\mathbf{a}}$ is well-defined when $\mathbf{a}$ is a non-zero element of the abelian group $(N^{-1}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z})^2$. For more details, see [11, Section 4.2].

Furthermore, the Galois action on the set $\{u_{\mathbf{a}}\}$ is compatible with the right linear action of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ on it. That is, for any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(X(N))/\mathbb{Q}(j)) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})/\pm 1$ and any $\mathbf{a} \in (N^{-1}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z})^2$, we have

$$u^{\sigma}_{\mathbf{a}} = u_{\mathbf{a}\sigma}.$$

Here we borrow a result and its proof from [4] for subsequent applications and the conveniences of readers.

Proposition 3.2 ([4]). *We have*

$$\prod_{\boldsymbol{a}\in\mathcal{A}_N} u_{\boldsymbol{a}} = \pm\Phi_N(1)^{12N} = \begin{cases} \pm\ell^{12N} & \text{if } N \text{ is a power of a prime } \ell, \\ \pm 1 & \text{if } N \text{ has at least two distinct prime factors,}\end{cases}$$

where Φ_N is the N-th cyclotomic polynomial.

Proof. We denote by u the left-hand side of the equality. Since the set $\mathcal{A}_N$ is stable with respect to $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, u is stable with respect to the Galois action over the field $\mathbb{Q}(X(1)) = \mathbb{Q}(j)$. So $u \in \mathbb{Q}(j)$. Moreover, since u is integral over $\mathbb{Z}[j]$, $u \in \mathbb{Z}[j]$. Notice that $X(1)$ has only one cusp and u has no zeros and poles outside the cusps, so we must have u is a constant and $u \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
u &= \prod_{(a_1,a_2)\in\mathcal{A}_N} q^{6NB_2(a_1)} e^{12N\pi i a_2(a_1-1)} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty}(1-q^{n+a_1}e^{2\pi i a_2})^{12N}(1-q^{n+1-a_1}e^{-2\pi i a_2})^{12N} \\
&\overset{q=0}{=\!=} \pm \prod_{\substack{(a_1,a_2)\in\mathcal{A}_N \\ a_1=0}} (1-e^{2\pi i a_2})^{12N} \\
&= \pm \prod_{\substack{1\le k<N \\ \gcd(k,N)=1}} (1-e^{2k\pi i/N})^{12N} \\
&= \pm\Phi_N(1)^{12N}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

3.3. X_G **and** X_{G_1}**.** Let $G_1 = G\cap \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ and X_{G_1} be the modular curve corresponding to G_1. In this subsection, we assume that X_{G_1} is defined over a number field K. Then X_G is also defined over K. Since X_G and X_{G_1} have the same geometrically integral model, every K-rational point of X_G is also a K-rational point of X_{G_1}.

For each cusp c of X_{G_1}, let t_c be its local parameter constructed in [11, Section 3]. Put $q_c = t_c^{e_c}$, where e_c is the ramification index of the natural covering $X_{G_1}\to X(1)$ at c. Notice that $e_c|N$. Furthermore, for any $v\in M_K$, let $\Omega_{c,v}$ be the set constructed in [11, Section 3] on which t_c and q_c are defined and analytic. Here we quote [11, Proposition 3.1] as following.

Proposition 3.3 ([11]). *Put*

$$X_{G_1}(\bar{K}_v)^+ = \begin{cases} \{P\in X_{G_1}(\bar{K}_v) : |j(P)|_v > 3500\} & \text{if } v\in M_K^\infty, \\ \{P\in X_{G_1}(\bar{K}_v) : |j(P)|_v > 1\} & \text{if } v\in M_K^0. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$X_{G_1}(\bar{K}_v)^+ \subseteq \bigcup_c \Omega_{c,v}$$

with equality for the non-Archimedean v, where the sum runs through all the cusps of X_{G_1}. Moreover, for $P\in\Omega_{c,v}$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2}|j(P)|_v \le |q_c(P)^{-1}|_v \le \frac{3}{2}|j(P)|_v$$

if v is Archimedean, and $|j(P)|_v = |q_c(P)^{-1}|_v$ if v is non-Archimedean.

We will use the above proposition several times without special reference. Moreover, this proposition implies that for every $P\in X_{G_1}(\bar{K}_v)^+$ there exists a cusp c such that $P\in\Omega_{c,v}$. We call c a v-nearby cusp of P.

We get directly the following corollary from Proposition 3.1.

Corollary 3.4. *Let c be a cusp of X_{G_1}, $v\in M_K$ and $P\in\Omega_{c,v}$. Assume that $|q_c(P)|_v\le 10^{-N}$. For $\mathbf{a}\in\mathcal{A}_N$, we have*

$$-q_c^{-\ell_{\mathbf{a}}}\gamma_{\mathbf{a}}^{-1} g_{\mathbf{a}}(q_c(P)) = 1 + O_v(4|q_c(P)|_v^{1/N}).$$

The following proposition follows directly from [11, Propositions 2.3 and 2.5].

Proposition 3.5. *Let c be a cusp of X_{G_1}, $v \in M_K$ and $P \in \Omega_{c,v}$. For every $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}_N$, we have*

$$|\log|g_{\mathbf{a}}(q_c(P))|_v - \ell_{\mathbf{a}} \log|q_c(P)|_v| \begin{cases} \leq \log N & \text{if } v \in M_K^\infty, \\ = 0 & \text{if } |N|_v = 1, \\ \leq \frac{\log \ell}{\ell - 1} & \text{if } v|\ell|N, \end{cases}$$

where ℓ is some prime factor of N.

3.4. **Modular units on X_{G_1}.** We apply the notations in the above subsection.

We denote by $\mathcal{M}_N$ the set of elements of exact order N in $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$. Let us consider the natural right group action of G_1 on $\mathcal{M}_N$. Following the proof of [10, Lemma 2.3], we see that the number of the orbits of $\mathcal{M}_N/G_1$ is equal to ν_∞.

Obviously, when we consider the natural right group action $\mathcal{A}_N/G_1$, there are also ν_∞ orbits of this group action. So

$$\nu_\infty \leq |\mathcal{A}_N| < N^2.$$

Let T be any subset of $\mathcal{A}_N$, we define

$$u_T = \prod_{\mathbf{a} \in T} u_{\mathbf{a}}.$$

Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an orbit of the right group action $\mathcal{A}_N/G_1$, we have

$$u_{\mathcal{O}} = \prod_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{O}} u_{\mathbf{a}}. \tag{3.2}$$

By [11, Proposition 4.2 (ii)], $u_{\mathcal{O}}$ is a rational function on the modular curve X_{G_1}. In fact, $u_{\mathcal{O}}$ is a modular unit on X_{G_1}.

For any cusp c, we denote by $\mathrm{Ord}_c(u_{\mathcal{O}})$ the vanishing order of $u_{\mathcal{O}}$ at c. For $v \in M_K$, define

$$\rho_v = \begin{cases} 12N^3 \log N & \text{if } v \in M_K^\infty, \\ 0 & \text{if } v \in M_K^0 \text{ and } |N|_v = 1, \\ \frac{12N^3 \log \ell}{\ell - 1} & \text{if } v \in M_K^0 \text{ and } v|\ell|N, \end{cases}$$

where ℓ is some prime factor of N.

Then $u_{\mathcal{O}}$ has the following properties.

Proposition 3.6. (i) *Put $\lambda = (1-\zeta_N)^{12N^3}$. Then the functions $u_{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\lambda u_{\mathcal{O}}^{-1}$ are integral over $\mathbb{Z}[j]$.*

(ii) *For the cusp c_∞ at infinity, we have*

$$\mathrm{Ord}_{c_\infty}(u_{\mathcal{O}}) = 12N e_{c_\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{O}} \ell_{\mathbf{a}}.$$

For any cusp c, we have $|\mathrm{Ord}_c(u_{\mathcal{O}})| < N^4$.

(iii) *Let c be a cusp of X_{G_1}, $v \in M_K$ and $P \in \Omega_{c,v}$. Assume that $|q_c(P)|_v \leq 10^{-N}$. Then we have*

$$q_c(P)^{-\mathrm{Ord}_c(u_{\mathcal{O}})/e_c} \gamma_{\mathcal{O},c}^{-1} u_{\mathcal{O}}(P) = 1 + O_v(4^{12N^3} |q_c(P)|_v^{1/N}),$$

where $\gamma_{\mathcal{O},c} \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ and $\mathrm{h}(\gamma_{\mathcal{O},c}) \leq 12N^3 \log 2$.

(iv) *Let c be a cusp of X_{G_1} and $v \in M_K$. For $P \in \Omega_{c,v}$, we have*

$$\left| \log|u_{\mathcal{O}}(P)|_v - \frac{\mathrm{Ord}_c(u_{\mathcal{O}})}{e_c} \log|q_c(P)|_v \right| \leq \rho_v.$$

(v) *For* $v \in M_K^\infty$ *and* $P \in X_{G_1}(K_v)$*, we have*

$$|\log|u_{\mathcal{O}}(P)|_v| \le N^3 \log(|j(P)|_v + 2400) + \rho_v.$$

(vi) *The group generated by the principal divisor* $(u_{\mathcal{O}})$*, where* $\mathcal{O}$ *runs over the orbits of* $\mathcal{A}_N/G_1$*, is of rank* $\nu_\infty - 1$*.*

Proof. (i) See [11, Proposition 4.2 (i)].

(ii) Similar to the proof of [11, Proposition 4.2 (iii)]. The q-order of vanishing of $u_{\mathcal{O}}$ at $i\infty$ is $12N \sum_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathcal{O}} \ell_{\mathbf{a}}$. Then

$$\mathrm{Ord}_{c_\infty}(u_{\mathcal{O}}) = 12Ne_{c_\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathcal{O}} \ell_{\mathbf{a}}.$$

Since $|\ell_{\mathbf{a}}| \le 1/12$, we have $|\mathrm{Ord}_{c_\infty}(u_{\mathcal{O}})| \le Ne_{c_\infty}|\mathcal{O}| < N^4$. The case of arbitrary c reduces to the case $c = c_\infty$ by replacing $\mathcal{O}$ by $\mathcal{O}\sigma$ where $\sigma \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ is such that $\sigma(c) = c_\infty$.

(iii) Similar to the proof of [11, Proposition 4.4] by using Corollary 3.4 except for the height of $\gamma_{\mathcal{O}}$. In fact, if $c = c_\infty$, we have $\gamma_{\mathcal{O},c} = \prod_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathcal{O}} \gamma_{\mathbf{a}}^{12N}$. Then $\mathrm{h}(\gamma_{\mathcal{O},c}) \le 12N \sum_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathcal{O}} \mathrm{h}(\gamma_{\mathbf{a}}) \le 12N|\mathcal{O}|\log 2 < 12N^3 \log 2$. The general case reduces to the case $c = c_\infty$ by applying a suitable Galois automorphism.

(iv) and (v) They follow from [11, Proposition 4.4].

(vi) By Proposition 3.2, the rank of the free abelian group $(u_{\mathcal{O}})$ is at most $\nu_\infty - 1$. Then Manin-Drinfeld theorem, as stated in [20], tells us that this rank is maximal possible. □

4. Siegel's theory of convenient units

We recall here Siegel's construction [25] of convenient units in a number field K of degree d, in the form adapted to the needs of the present paper. The results of this section are well-known, but not always in the set-up we wish them to have.

Let S be a finite set of absolute values of K, containing all the Archimedean valuations and normalized with respect to $\mathbb{Q}$. Fix a valuation $v_0 \in S$, we put

$$S' = S \setminus \{v_0\}, \quad s = |S|, \quad r = s - 1, \quad d' = \max\{d, 3\}, \quad \zeta = 1201\left(\frac{\log d'}{\log\log d'}\right)^3.$$

Let $\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_r$ be a fundamental system of S-units. The S-regulator $R(S)$ is the absolute value of the determinant of the $r \times r$ matrix

$$(d_v \log|\xi_k|_v)_{\substack{v\in S'\\ 1\le k\le r}} \tag{4.1}$$

(we fix some ordering for the set S'), where $d_v = [K_v : \mathbb{Q}_v]$ is the local degree of v. It is well-defined and is equal to the usual regulator R_K when S is the set of infinite places.

Proposition 4.1. *There exists a fundamental system of* S*-units* $\eta_1, \cdots, \eta_r$ *satisfying*

$$\mathrm{h}(\eta_1)\cdots\mathrm{h}(\eta_r) \le d^{-r} r^{2r} R(S),$$
$$(\zeta d)^{-1} \le \mathrm{h}(\eta_k) \le d^{-1} r^{2r} \zeta^{r-1} R(S) \quad (k = 1, \cdots, r).$$

Furthermore, the entries of the inverse matrix of (4.1) are bounded in absolute value by $r^{2r}\zeta$*.*

Proof. See [14, Lemma 1]. Notice that the left-hand inequality in the second inequality is a well-known result of Dobrowolski [15]. ☐

Corollary 4.2. *For the unit* $\eta = \eta_1^{b_1} \cdots \eta_r^{b_r}$*, where* $\eta_1, \cdots, \eta_r$ *are from Proposition 4.1 and* $b_1 \cdots b_r \in \mathbb{Z}$*, put* $B^* = \max\{|b_1|, \cdots, |b_r|\}$*, then we have*

$$\mathrm{h}(\eta) \le d^{-1} r^{2r+1} \zeta^{r-1} B^* R(S),$$

$$B^* \le 2dr^{2r} \zeta \mathrm{h}(\eta).$$

Proof. We only need to prove the second inequality.

Write

$$d_v \log |\eta|_v = \sum_{k=1}^{r} d_v b_k \log |\eta_k|_v, \quad v \in S'.$$

Resolving this in terms of $b_1, \cdots, b_r$ and using the final statement of Proposition 4.1, we obtain

$$B^* \le r^{2r} \zeta \sum_{v \in S'} d_v |\log |\eta|_v| \le r^{2r} \zeta \sum_{v \in S} d_v |\log |\eta|_v|.$$

Since η is an S-unit,

$$\sum_{v \in S} d_v |\log |\eta|_v| = d(\mathrm{h}(\eta) + \mathrm{h}(\eta^{-1})) = 2d\mathrm{h}(\eta).$$

Then the corollary is proved. ☐

Finally, we quote two estimates of the S-regulator in terms of the usual regulator R_K, the class number h_K, the degree d and the discriminant D of the field K.

Proposition 4.3. *We have*

$$0.1 \le R(S) \le h_K R_K \prod_{\substack{v \in S \\ v \nmid \infty}} \log \mathcal{N}(v),$$

$$R(S) \ll d^{-d} \sqrt{|D|} (\log |D|)^{d-1} \prod_{\substack{v \in S \\ v \nmid \infty}} \log \mathcal{N}(v).$$

For the first inequality see [14, Lemma 3]; one may remark that the lower bound $R(S) \ge 0.1$ follows from Friedman's famous lower bound [16] for the usual regulator $R_K \ge 0.2$. The second one follows from Siegel's estimate [25, Satz 1]

$$h_K R_K \ll d^{-d} \sqrt{|D|} (\log |D|)^{d-1};$$

in fact there is an explicit bound for $h_K R_K$ therein.

5. Baker's inequality

In this section we state Baker's inequality, which is the main technical tool of the proof. It is actually an adaptation of a result in [1]. For the convenience of readers, we also quote its proof with slight change.

For a number field K and $v \in M_K$, we denote by p_v the underlying prime of v when v is non-archimedean. Next, we let

- $\theta_0, \theta_1, \cdots, \theta_r$ be non-zero algebraic numbers, belonging to K;
- $\Theta_0, \Theta_1, \cdots, \Theta_r$ be real numbers satisfying

$$\Theta_k \ge \max\{d\mathrm{h}(\theta_j), 1\} \quad (k = 0, \cdots, r);$$

- $b_1, \ldots, b_r$ be rational integers, $\Lambda = \theta_0 \theta_1^{b_1} \cdots \theta_r^{b_r}$, $B^* = \{|b_1|, |b_2|, \cdots, |b_r|\}$.

Theorem 5.1 ([1]). *There exists an absolute constant C that can be determined explicitly such that the following holds. Assume that $\Lambda \neq 1$. Then for any real number B satisfying $B \geq B^*$ and $B \geq \max\{3, \Theta_1, \cdots, \Theta_r\}$, we have*

$$|\Lambda - 1|_v \geq e^{-\Upsilon \Theta_0 \Theta_1 \cdots \Theta_r \log B},$$

where

$$\Upsilon = \begin{cases} C^r d^2 \log(2d), & v \mid \infty, \\ (Cd)^{2r+6} p_v^d, & v | p_v < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The Archimedean case is due to Matveev, see Corollary 2.3 from [22]. We use this result with $n = r+1$, with $1, b_1, \ldots, b_r$ as Matveev's $b_n, b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1}$, respectively, $\Theta_0, \Theta_1, \ldots, \Theta_r$ as Matveev's $A_n, A_1, \ldots, A_{n-1}$, respectively, and B as Matveev's B.

Notice that Matveev assumes (in our notations) that

$$\Theta_k \geq |\log \theta_k|, \tag{5.1}$$

with some choice of the complex value of the logarithm. However, if we pick the principal value of the logarithm, then

$$|\log \theta_k| \leq |\log |\theta_k|| + \pi \leq d\mathrm{h}(\theta_j) + \pi \leq (1+\pi)\Theta_k.$$

Hence we may disregard (5.1) at the cost of increasing the absolute constant C in the definition of Υ.

In the case of non-archimedean v we employ the result of Yu [27]. Precisely, we use the second consequence of his "Main Theorem" on page 190 (see the bottom of page 190 and the top of page 191), which asserts that, assuming (1.19) of [27], but without assuming (1.5) and (1.15), the first displayed equation on the top of page 191 of [27] holds.

In our notations, taking, as in the archimedean case, $n = r+1$, using $1, b_1, \ldots, b_r$ as Yu's $b_n, b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1}$, noticing that Yu's parameters $h_n, h_1, \ldots, h_{n-1}$ do not exceed our $d^{-1}\Theta_0, d^{-1}\Theta_1, \ldots, d^{-1}\Theta_r$, and setting Yu's B_n to be 1, we re-state Yu's result as follows. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be the prime ideal of v and δ a real number satisfying $0 < \delta \leq 1/2$; then

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Lambda - 1) < (Cd)^{2r+5} \frac{p_v^d}{(\log p_v)^2} \max\left\{\Theta_0 \Theta_1 \cdots \Theta_r \log Q, \delta B\right\}, \\ &Q = \delta^{-1} e^{6r^2} d^{2r} p_v^{rd} \Theta_1 \cdots \Theta_r. \end{aligned}$$

Here we replace Yu's c_0 by d^{r+1}, Yu's c_1 by $e^{6r^2} d^{3r}$ and Yu's C_0 by $(Cd)^{3r+6} p_v^d (\log p_v)^{-2}$, the constant C being absolute. Observing that

$$\log Q = \log\left(\delta^{-1}\Theta_1 \cdots \Theta_r\right) + O(r^2 d \log p_v),$$

and modifying the absolute constant C, we obtain

$$\mathrm{Ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Lambda - 1) < (Cd)^{2r+6} \frac{p_v^d}{\log p_v} \max\left\{\Theta_0 \Theta_1 \cdots \Theta_r \log\left(\delta^{-1}\Theta_1 \cdots \Theta_r\right), \delta B\right\}. \tag{5.2}$$

Notice that $B \geq 3\Theta_0$. Set now

$$\delta = \min\left\{\Theta_1 \cdots \Theta_r \frac{\log B}{B}, \frac{1}{2}\right\}.$$

If $\delta < 1/2$ then the maximum in (5.2) doesn't exceed $\Theta_0\Theta_1\cdots\Theta_r \log B$. And if $\delta = 1/2$, then

$$\frac{B}{\log B} \leq 2\Theta_1\cdots\Theta_r,$$

which, by [8, Lemma 2.3.3], implies that

$$B \leq 4\Theta_1\cdots\Theta_r \log\left(2\Theta_1\cdots\Theta_r\right) \leq 4(r+1)\Theta_1\cdots\Theta_r \log B,$$

and the maximum in (5.2) is at most $2(r+1)\Theta_0\Theta_1\cdots\Theta_r \log B$. So in any case we obtain (again slightly adjusting the absolute constant C) the estimate

$$\operatorname{Ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Lambda - 1) < (Cd)^{2r+6}\frac{p_v^d}{\log p_v}\Theta_0\Theta_1\cdots\Theta_r \log B. \tag{5.3}$$

Finally, since $|\Lambda - 1|_v = e^{-\operatorname{Ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\Lambda-1)\frac{\log p_v}{e_{\mathfrak{p}}}}$, where $e_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the absolute ramification index of $\mathfrak{p}$, we obtain the result in the non-archimedean case as well. □

Remark 5.2. We choose the form of Baker's inequality in the Theorem 5.1 because of its convenience for our computations, although it is effective but not explicit. If one want to get an explicit bound for $\mathrm{h}(P)$, he can apply Matveev [22] and Yu [27] respectively, like [18], and he also can apply [6, Theorem C] to handle uniformly with the Archimedean and non-Archimedean cases.

6. The case of mixed level

In this section, we assume that N has at least two distinct prime factors. Then we will apply Baker's inequality to prove Theorem 1.1 and 1.2.

In the sequel, we assume that P is an S_0-integral point of X_G and $\nu_\infty \geq 3$. What we want to do is to obtain some bounds for $\mathrm{h}(P)$.

From now on we let $K = K_0 \cdot \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N) = K_0(\zeta_N)$. Let S be the set consisting of the extensions of the places from S_0 to K, i.e.

$$S = \{v \in M_K : v|v_0 \in S_0\}.$$

Then P is also an S-integral point of X_G.

Put $d = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$, $s = |S|$ and $r = s - 1$. Since $j(P) \in \mathcal{O}_S$, we have

$$\mathrm{h}(P) = d^{-1}\sum_{v\in S} d_v \log^+|j(P)|_v \leq \sum_{v\in S}\log^+|j(P)|_v.$$

Then there exists some $w \in S$ such that

$$\mathrm{h}(P) \leq s\log|j(P)|_w.$$

We fix this valuation w from now on. Therefore, we only need to bound $\log|j(P)|_w$.

As the discussion in Subsection 3.3, P is also an S-integral point of X_{G_1}. Hence for our purposes, we only need to focus on the modular curve X_{G_1}.

We partition the set S into three pairwise disjoint subsets: $S = S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3$, where S_1 consists of places $v \in S$ such that $P \in X_{G_1}(\bar{K}_v)^+$, $S_2 = M_K^\infty \setminus S_1$, and $S_3 = S \setminus (S_1 \cup S_2)$.

From now on, for $v \in S_1$ let c_v be a v-nearby cusp of P, and we write q_v for q_{c_v} and e_v for e_{c_v}. Notice that for any $v \in S_3$, it is non-Archimedean with $|j(P)|_v \leq 1$.

In the sequel we can assume that $|j(P)|_w > 3500$, otherwise we can get a better bound than those given in Section 1. Then we have $w \in S_1$ and $P \in \Omega_{c_w,w}$. Therefore, we only need to bound $\log|q_w(P)^{-1}|_w$.

From now on we assume that $|q_w(P)|_w \leq 10^{-N}$. Indeed, the inequality $|q_w(P)|_w > 10^{-N}$ yields a much better estimate for $\mathrm{h}(P)$ than those given in Section 1.

Notice that under our assumptions, we see that $N \geq 2$.

6.1. **Preparation for Baker's inequality.** We fix an orbit $\mathcal{O}$ of the group action $\mathcal{A}_N/G_1$. Put $U = u_{\mathcal{O}}$, where $u_{\mathcal{O}}$ is defined in (3.2).

If $\mathrm{Ord}_{c_w} U \neq 0$, we can assume that $\mathrm{Ord}_{c_w} U < 0$ according to Proposition 3.2. In this case, combining with Proposition 3.6 (vi), we also can choose another orbit $\mathcal{O}'$ such that U and V are multiplicatively independent modulo constants with $\mathrm{Ord}_{c_w} V > 0$, where $V = u_{\mathcal{O}'}$.

Define the following function

$$W = \begin{cases} U & \text{if } \mathrm{Ord}_{c_w} U = 0, \\ U^{\mathrm{Ord}_{c_w} V} V^{-\mathrm{Ord}_{c_w} U} & \text{if } \mathrm{Ord}_{c_w} U \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

So we always have $\mathrm{Ord}_{c_w} W = 0$ and $W(P) \in \mathcal{O}_S$. In particular, W is integral over $\mathbb{Z}[j]$.

By Proposition 3.6 (iii), we have

$$\gamma_w^{-1} W(P) = 1 + O_w(4^{24N^7} |q_w(P)|_w^{1/N}), \tag{6.1}$$

where

$$\gamma_w = \begin{cases} \gamma_{\mathcal{O},c_w} & \text{if } \mathrm{Ord}_{c_w} U = 0, \\ \gamma_{\mathcal{O},c_w}^{\mathrm{Ord}_{c_w} V} \gamma_{\mathcal{O}',c_w}^{-\mathrm{Ord}_{c_w} U} & \text{if } \mathrm{Ord}_{c_w} U \neq 0; \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathrm{h}(\gamma_w) \leq 24N^7 \log 2.$$

By Proposition 3.2, we know $W(P) \in \mathcal{O}_S^*$. So there exist some integers $b_1 \cdots b_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $W(P) = \omega \eta_1^{b_1} \cdots \eta_r^{b_r}$, where ω is a root of unity and $\eta_1, \cdots, \eta_r$ are from Proposition 4.1. Let $\eta_0 = \omega \gamma_w^{-1}$. Then we set

$$\Lambda = \gamma_w^{-1} W(P) = \eta_0 \eta_1^{b_1} \cdots \eta_r^{b_r}. \tag{6.2}$$

Notice that $\eta_0, \cdots, \eta_r \in K$ and

$$|\Lambda - 1|_w \leq 4^{24N^7} |q_w(P)|_w^{1/N}. \tag{6.3}$$

For subsequent deductions, we need to bound $\mathrm{h}(W(P))$.

Proposition 6.1. *We have*

$$\mathrm{h}(W(P)) \leq 2sN^8 \log |q_w^{-1}(P)|_w + 94sN^8 \log N.$$

Proof. First suppose that $\mathrm{Ord}_{c_w} U = 0$. Then $W = U$. For $v \in S_3$, $j(P)$ is a v-adic integer. Hence, so is the number $W(P)$. In addition, it is easy to see that

$$\sum_{v \in M_K^\infty} d_v \rho_v = 12dN^3 \log N, \quad \sum_{v \in M_K^0} d_v \rho_v \leq 12dN^3 \log N.$$

Notice that for $v \in S_1$, $\mathrm{Ord}_{c_v}(W) \le N^4$. By Proposition 3.6 (iv), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^{-1}\sum_{v\in S_1} d_v \log^+|W(P)|_v &\le N^4 d^{-1}\sum_{v\in S_1} d_v \log|q_v^{-1}|_v + d^{-1}\sum_{v\in S_1} d_v\rho_v \\ &\le N^4 d^{-1}\sum_{v\in S_1} d_v \log|j(P)|_v + sN^4\log\frac{3}{2} + 24N^3\log N \\ &\le N^4\mathrm{h}(P) + sN^4\log\frac{3}{2} + 24N^3\log N \\ &\le sN^4\log|j(P)|_w + sN^4\log\frac{3}{2} + 24N^3\log N \\ &\le sN^4\log|q_w(P)^{-1}|_w + sN^4\log 3 + 24N^3\log N. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Proposition 3.6 (v) that

$$d^{-1}\sum_{v\in S_2} d_v\log^+|W(P)|_v \le N^3\log 5900 + 12N^3\log N.$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{h}(W(P)) &= d^{-1}\sum_{v\in S_1\cup S_2} d_v\log^+|W(P)|_v \\ &\le sN^4\log|q_w(P)^{-1}|_w + sN^4\log 3 + 36N^3\log N + N^3\log 5900. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that $\mathrm{Ord}_{c_w}U \ne 0$. For any $v \in S_1$, we have

$$|\log|W(P)|_v| \le \frac{|\mathrm{Ord}_{c_v}(W)|}{e_v}\log|q_v(P)^{-1}|_v + 2N^4\rho_v.$$

Here note that $|\mathrm{Ord}_{c_v}(W)| \le 2N^8$. For any $v \in M_K^\infty$, we have

$$|\log|W(P)|_v| \le 2N^7\log(|j(P)|_v + 2400) + 2N^4\rho_v.$$

Apply the same argument as the above, we obtain

$$\mathrm{h}(W(P)) \le 2sN^8\log|q_w(P)^{-1}|_w + 2sN^8\log 3 + 72N^7\log N + 2N^7\log 5900.$$

Now it is easy to get the desired result. □

6.2. **Using Baker's inequality.** If $\Lambda = 1$, we can get better bounds for $\mathrm{h}(P)$ than those given in Section 1, see Section 8. So in the rest of this section we assume $\Lambda \ne 1$.

Let $B^* = \max\{|b_1|,\cdots,|b_r|\}$, and let $\Theta_0,\Theta_1,\cdots,\Theta_r$ be real numbers satisfying

$$\Theta_k \ge \max\{d\mathrm{h}(\eta_k), 1\}, \quad k = 0,\cdots,r.$$

By Theorem 5.1, there exists an absolute constant C that can be determined explicitly such that the following holds. Choosing $B \ge B^*$ and $B \ge \max\{3,\Theta_1,\cdots,\Theta_r\}$, we have

$$|\Lambda - 1|_w \ge e^{-\Upsilon\Theta_0\Theta_1\cdots\Theta_r\log B}, \tag{6.4}$$

where $\Upsilon = (Cd)^{2r+6}p^d$. Recall that p has been defined in Section 1.

Applying (6.3), we have

$$e^{-\Upsilon\Theta_0\Theta_1\cdots\Theta_r\log B} \le 4^{24N^7}|q_w(P)|_w^{1/N}.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\log|q_w(P)^{-1}|_w \le N\Upsilon\Theta_0\Theta_1\cdots\Theta_r\log B + 48N^8\log 2. \tag{6.5}$$

According to Proposition 4.1, we can choose

$$\Theta_k = d\zeta \mathrm{h}(\eta_k), \quad k = 1, \cdots, r.$$

So we have

$$\Theta_1 \cdots \Theta_r \leq r^{2r} \zeta^r R(S).$$

Since

$$d\mathrm{h}(\eta_0) = d\mathrm{h}(\gamma_w) \leq 24dN^7 \log 2,$$

we can choose

$$\Theta_0 = 24dN^7 \log 2.$$

Corollary 4.2 tells us that

$$B^* \leq 2dr^{2r} \zeta \mathrm{h}(W(P)).$$

Notice that we also need $B \geq \max\{3, \Theta_1, \cdots, \Theta_r\}$, by Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 6.1 we can choose

$$B = r^{2r} \zeta^r R(S) + 2dr^{2r} \zeta \left(2sN^8 \log |q_w(P)^{-1}|_w + 94sN^8 \log N \right).$$

Again, we write $B = \alpha \log |q_w(P)^{-1}|_w + \beta$, where

$$\alpha = 4dsr^{2r} \zeta N^8,$$
$$\beta = r^{2r} \zeta^r R(S) + 188dsr^{2r} \zeta N^8 \log N.$$

Hence, (6.5) yields

$$\alpha \log |q_w(P)^{-1}|_w + \beta \leq \alpha N \Upsilon \Theta_0 \Theta_1 \cdots \Theta_r \log(\alpha \log |q_w(P)^{-1}|_w + \beta) + 48\alpha N^8 \log 2 + \beta.$$

Here we put $C_1 = \alpha N \Upsilon \Theta_0 \Theta_1 \cdots \Theta_r$ and $C_2 = 48\alpha N^8 \log 2 + \beta$, then

$$\alpha \log |q_w(P)^{-1}|_w + \beta \leq C_1 \log(\alpha \log |q_w(P)^{-1}|_w + \beta) + C_2.$$

Therefore, by [8, Lemma 2.3.3] we obtain

$$\alpha \log |q_w(P)^{-1}|_w + \beta \leq 2(C_1 \log C_1 + C_2).$$

Hence

$$\log |q_w(P)^{-1}|_w \leq 2\alpha^{-1} C_1 \log C_1 + \alpha^{-1}(2C_2 - \beta).$$

That is

$$\log |j(P)|_w \leq 2\alpha^{-1} C_1 \log C_1 + \alpha^{-1}(2C_2 - \beta) + \log 2.$$

So we have

$$\mathrm{h}(P) \leq 2s\alpha^{-1} C_1 \log C_1 + s\alpha^{-1}(2C_2 - \beta) + s \log 2.$$

Finally we get

$$\mathrm{h}(P) \ll dsr^{2r} \zeta^r N^8 \Upsilon R(S) \log(d^2 sr^{4r} \zeta^{r+1} N^{16} \Upsilon R(S)). \tag{6.6}$$

To get a bound for $\mathrm{h}(P)$, we only need to calculate the quantities in the above inequality.

6.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, we have $K=\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ and $S=M_K^\infty$. It follows from Proposition 4.3 and [26, Proposition 2.7] that

$$R(S)\ll\varphi(N)^{-1}N^{\varphi(N)/2}(\log N)^{\varphi(N)-1}.$$

Since $\zeta\ll(\log d)^3$ and $\log(d^2sr^{4r}\zeta^{r+1}N^{16}\Upsilon R(S))\ll\varphi(N)\log N$, applying (6.6) we obtain

$$\mathrm{h}(P)<C^{\varphi(N)}N^{5\varphi(N)+12}(\log N)^{4\varphi(N)-3},$$

the constant C being modified. Hence we prove Theorem 1.1.

6.4. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Now we need to give a bound for $\mathrm{h}(P)$ based on the parameters of K_0 with the assumptions of Theorem 1.2.

Firstly, notice that

$$\begin{aligned}&s\le\varphi(N)s_0\le s_0N-1,\\&r=s-1\le s_0N-2,\\&d\le\varphi(N)d_0\le d_0N-1,\\&\zeta\ll(\log d)^3\le(\log(d_0N))^3.\end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 4.3, we estimate $R(S)$ as follows:

$$R(S)\ll d^{-d}\sqrt{|D|}(\log|D|)^{d-1}\prod_{\substack{v\in S\\ v\nmid\infty}}\log\mathcal{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(v).$$

Since $\mathcal{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(v)\le p^{[K:\mathbb{Q}]}=p^d$, this implies the upper bound

$$\log R(S)\ll\frac{1}{2}\log|D|+d\log\log|D|+ds\log p.\tag{6.7}$$

Let D_{K/K_0} be the relative discriminant of K/K_0. We have

$$D=\mathcal{N}_{K_0/\mathbb{Q}}(D_{K/K_0})D_0^{[K:K_0]}.$$

We denote by $\mathcal{O}_{K_0}$ and $\mathcal{O}_K$ the ring of integers of K_0 and K respectively. Since $K=K_0(\zeta_N)$, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{K_0}\subseteq\mathcal{O}_{K_0}[\zeta_N]\subseteq\mathcal{O}_K.$$

By [17, III (2.20) (b)] and note that the absolute value of the discriminant of the polynomial x^N-1 is N^N, we get

$$D_{K/K_0}|N^N.$$

So

$$|\mathcal{N}_{K_0/\mathbb{Q}}(D_{K/K_0})|\le N^{d_0N}.$$

Hence

$$|D|\le N^{d_0N}|D_0|^N.$$

Now let v_0 be a non-archimedean place of K_0, and $v_1,\cdots,v_m$ all its extensions to K, their residue degrees over K_0 being $f_1,\cdots,f_m$ respectively. Then $f_1+\cdots+f_m\le[K:K_0]\le N$, which implies that $f_1\cdots f_m\le 2^N$. Since $\mathcal{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(v_k)=\mathcal{N}_{K_0/\mathbb{Q}}(v_0)^{f_k}$ for $1\le k\le m$, we have

$$\prod_{v|v_0}\log\mathcal{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(v)\le 2^N(\log\mathcal{N}_{K_0/\mathbb{Q}}(v_0))^N.$$

Hence

$$\prod_{\substack{v\in S\\ v\nmid\infty}} \log \mathcal{N}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(v) \le 2^{s_0N}\left(\prod_{\substack{v\in S_0\\ v\nmid\infty}} \log \mathcal{N}_{K_0/\mathbb{Q}}(v)\right)^N. \tag{6.8}$$

If we now denote by Δ the quantity defined in (1.1), then using (6.7) and (6.8), we obtain the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} &R(S) \ll 2^{s_0N}\Delta,\\ &R(S)\log(d^2sr^{4r}\zeta^{r+1}N^{16}\Upsilon R(S)) \ll 2^{s_0N}d_0s_0^2N^2p\Delta. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using (6.6) we get

$$\mathrm{h}(P) \le \left(Cd_0s_0N^2\right)^{2s_0N}(\log(d_0N))^3p^{d_0N}\Delta, \tag{6.9}$$

the constant C being modified.

Therefore, Theorem 1.2 is proved.

7. The case of prime power level

In this section, we assume that N is a prime power.

As Section 6, we can define a similar function W. But in this case $W(P) \notin \mathcal{O}_S^*$ by Proposition 3.2. So we need to raise the level. Put

$$M = \begin{cases} 2N & \text{if } N \text{ is not a power of 2},\\ \\ 3N & \text{if } N \text{ is a power of 2}. \end{cases}$$

Notice that X_G is also a modular curve of level M and $\nu_\infty \ge 3$, since we have the following natural sequence of morphisms

$$X(M) \to X(N) \to X_G \to X(1).$$

Since $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(X(M))/\mathbb{Q}(j)) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})/\pm 1$, X_G corresponds to a subgroup $\widetilde{G}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})$ containing ± 1. In fact, The restriction of $\widetilde{G}$ on $X(N)$ is G. The modular curve $X_{\widetilde{G}}$ has the same integral geometric model as X_G. In particular, P is also an S_0-integral point of $X_{\widetilde{G}}$.

Therefore, from Theorem 1.1 and 1.2, we can get two upper bounds for $\mathrm{h}(P)$ by replacing N by M, which proves Theorem 1.3.

8. The case $\Lambda = 1$

In this section, we suppose that N is not a prime power without loss of generality. Under the assumption $\Lambda = 1$ we can obtain better bounds for $\mathrm{h}(P)$ than those given in Section 1.

Let c be a cusp of X_{G_1} and $v \in M_K$. We also denote by v the unique extension of v to $\bar{K}_v$. Recall $\Omega_{c,v}$ and the q-parameter q_c mentioned in Section 3.3, for the modular function U defined in Section 6.1, we get the following lemma.

Lemma 8.1. *There exist an integer function $f(\cdot)$ with respect to q_c and $\lambda_1^c, \lambda_2^c, \lambda_3^c \cdots \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ such that the following identity holds in v-adic sense,*

$$\log \frac{U(q_c)}{\gamma_{\mathcal{O},c}q_c^{\frac{\mathrm{Ord}_cU}{e_c}}} = 2\pi f(q_c)i + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda_k^c q_c^{k/N}, \tag{8.1}$$

and

$$|\lambda_k^c|_v \le \begin{cases} |k|_v^{-1} & \text{if } v \text{ is finite,} \\ 24N^2(k+N) & \text{if } v \text{ is infinite.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, for every $k \ge 1$ *we have*

$$\mathrm{h}(\lambda_k^c) \le \log(24N^3 + 24kN^2) + \log k.$$

Proof. By definition, we have

$$\frac{U(q_c)}{\gamma_{\mathcal{O},c} q_c^{\frac{\mathrm{Ord}_c U}{e_c}}} = \prod_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathcal{O}} \prod_{\substack{n=0 \\ n+a_1\neq 0}}^{\infty} (1 - q_c^{n+a_1} e^{2\pi i a_2})^{12N} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - q_c^{n+1-a_1} e^{-2\pi i a_2})^{12N}. \tag{8.2}$$

Since

$$\sum_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathcal{O}} \left(\sum_{\substack{n=0 \\ n+a_1\neq 0}}^{\infty} 12N|q_c|_v^{n+a_1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 12N|q_c|_v^{n+1-a_1} \right)$$

is convergent, it follows from [2, Chapter 5 Section 2.2 Theorem 6] that the right-hand side of (8.2) is absolutely convergent (v is infinite). It is also true when v is finite. Then we can write (8.2) as the form $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1+d_n)$ such that $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1+d_n)$ is absolutely convergent. Hence, [2, Chapter 5 Section 2.2 Theorem 5] (v is infinite) and [19, Chapter IV Section 2] (v is finite) give

$$\log \frac{U(q_c)}{\gamma_{\mathcal{O},c} q_c^{\frac{\mathrm{Ord}_c U}{e_c}}}$$
$$= 2\pi f(q_c) i + \sum_{\mathbf{a}\in\mathcal{O}} \left(\sum_{\substack{n=0 \\ n+a_1\neq 0}}^{\infty} 12N \log(1 - q_c^{n+a_1} e^{2\pi i a_2}) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 12N \log(1 - q_c^{n+1-a_1} e^{-2\pi i a_2}) \right),$$

where by default $f(q_c)$ is always equal to 0 if v is finite. Applying the Taylor expansion of the logarithm function to the right-hand side of the above formula, we get the desired formula for $\log \frac{U(q_c)}{\gamma_{\mathcal{O},c} q_c^{\frac{\mathrm{Ord}_c U}{e_c}}}$.

For a fixed non-negative integer n (where we assume $n > 0$ if $a_1 = 0$), write

$$\log(1 - q_c^{n+a_1} e^{2\pi i a_2}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k q^{k/N}.$$

An immediate verification shows that

$$|\alpha_k|_v \le \begin{cases} |k|_v^{-1} & \text{if } v \text{ is finite,} \\ 1 & \text{if } v \text{ is infinite.} \end{cases}$$

Same estimates hold true for the coefficients of the q-series for $\log(1 - q_c^{n+1-a_1} e^{-2\pi i a_2})$.

For each $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{O}$, the number of coefficients in the q-series for $\log(1 - q_c^{n+a_1} e^{2\pi i a_2})$ which may contribute to λ_k^c (those with $0 \le n \le k/N$) is at most $k/N + 1$, and the same is true for the q-series for $\log(1 - q_c^{n+1-a_1} e^{-2\pi i a_2})$. The bound for $|\lambda_k^c|_v$ now follows by summation. □

Corollary 8.2. *Assume that* $\mathrm{Ord}_c U = 0$. *Then* $\lambda_k^c \neq 0$ *for some* $k \le N^6$.

Proof. Since U is not a constant, there must exist some $\lambda_k^c \neq 0$. Under the assumption $\mathrm{Ord}_c U = 0$, we have $U(c) = \gamma_{\mathcal{O},c}$, and then $f(q_c(c)) = 0$ by (8.1). We extend the additive valuation Ord_c from the field $K(X_{G_1})$ to the field of formal power series $K((q_c^{1/e_c}))$. Then $\mathrm{Ord}_c q_c^{1/e_c} = 1$ and $\mathrm{Ord}_c(-2\pi f(q_c)i + \log(U/\gamma_{\mathcal{O},c})) \leq \mathrm{Ord}_c \log(U/\gamma_{\mathcal{O},c}) = \mathrm{Ord}_c(U/\gamma_{\mathcal{O},c} - 1)$. The latter quantity is bounded by the degree of $U/\gamma_{\mathcal{O},c} - 1$, which is equal to the degree of U.

The degree of U is equal to $\frac{1}{2}\sum\limits_{c_0} |\mathrm{Ord}_{c_0} U|$, here the sum runs through all the cusps of X_{G_1}. Then the result follows from Proposition 3.6 (ii). □

Now we can prove a general result.

Proposition 8.3. *Assume that* $\mathrm{Ord}_c U = 0$. *Then for* $P \in \Omega_{c,v}$ *such that* $U(P) = \gamma_{\mathcal{O},c}$, *we have*

$$\log|q_c(P)^{-1}|_v \leq N\varphi(N)\log(24N^{14} + 24N^9) + N\log(48N^2(N^6 + N + 1)).$$

Proof. Let n be the smallest k such that $\lambda_k^c \neq 0$. Then $n \leq N^6$. We assume that $|q_c(P)|_v \leq 10^{-N}$, otherwise there is nothing to prove. Since $\mathrm{Ord}_c U = 0$ and $U(P) = \gamma_{\mathcal{O},c}$, it follows from Lemma 8.1 that $2\pi f(q_c(P))i + \sum\limits_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda_k^c q_c(P)^{k/N} = 0$.

Suppose that $f(q_c(P)) = 0$. Then $|\lambda_n^c q_c(P)^{n/N}|_v = |\sum\limits_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^c q_c(P)^{k/N}|_v$. On one side, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^c q_c(P)^{k/N}|_v &\leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k^c|_v |q_c(P)|_v^{k/N} \\
&\leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} 24N^2(k+N)|q_c(P)|_v^{k/N} \\
&= 48N^2(n+N+1)|q_c(P)|_v^{(n+1)/N}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other side, using Product Formula we get

$$|\lambda_n^c|_v \geq e^{-[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N):\mathbb{Q}]\mathrm{h}(\lambda_n^c)} \geq (24nN^3 + 24n^2N^2)^{-\varphi(N)}.$$

Then the desired result follows easily.

Suppose that $f(q_c(P)) \neq 0$. Then $2\pi \leq |\sum\limits_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda_k^c q_c(P)^{k/N}|_v \leq 48N^2(n+N)|q_c(P)|_v^{n/N}$. Then we get

$$\log|q_c(P)^{-1}|_v \leq N\log(48N^2(N^6 + N)).$$

□

Now we assume that $\mathrm{Ord}_{c_w} U = 0$. Then we have $W = U$. Since $\Lambda = 1$, $W(P) = \gamma_{\mathcal{O},c_w}$. For the S-integral point P of X_{G_1} fixed in Section 6, applying the above proposition to W, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{h}(P) &\leq s(\log|q_w(P)^{-1}|_w + \log 2) \\
&\leq s_0 N\left(N\varphi(N)\log(24N^{14} + 24N^9) + N\log(48N^2(N^6 + N + 1)) + \log 2\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we assume that $\mathrm{Ord}_{c_w} U \neq 0$. Then $W = U^{\mathrm{Ord}_{c_w} V} V^{-\mathrm{Ord}_{c_w} U}$ with $\mathrm{Ord}_{c_w} W = 0$. Proposition 3.6 (vi) guarantees that W is not a constant. Applying the same method as the above without difficulties, we can also get a better bound than Theorem 1.1 and 1.2. We omit the details here.

In conclusion, if assuming $\Lambda = 1$, we can get polynomial bounds for $\mathrm{h}(P)$ in terms of s_0 and N, which are obviously better than those in Theorems 1.1-1.3.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank his advisor Yuri Bilu for careful reading and lots of stimulating suggestions and helpful discussions, especially for his key suggestion in Section 8. He also thank Aurélien Bajolet for valuable discussions.

References

[1] M. Abouzaid, A. Bérczes, Yu. Bilu, S. Najib, Effective bounds for the polynomial norm equation, in preparation.

[2] L. Ahlfors, *Complex Analysis*, Third edition, Mcgraw-Hill, 1979.

[3] T.M. Apostol, *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 1976.

[4] A. Bajolet, Yu. Bilu, Finding integral points on $X_{\mathrm{ns}}^{+}(p)$, in preparation.

[5] A. Bajolet, M. Sha, Bounding j-invariant of integral points on $X_{\mathrm{ns}}^{+}(p)$, submitted; arXiv:1203.1187.

[6] A. Bérczes, J. Evertse, K. Győry, Effective results for linear equations in two unknowns from a multiplicative division group, *Acta Arithm.* **136** (2009), 331-349.

[7] Yu. Bilu, Effective analysis of integral points on algebraic curves, *Israel J. Math.* **90** (1995), 235-252.

[8] Yu. Bilu, G. Hanrot, Solving Thue Equations of High Degree, *J. Number Th.* **60** (1996), 373-392.

[9] Yu. Bilu, Baker's method and modular curves, *A Panorama of Number Theory or The View from Baker'S Garden* (edited by G. Wüstholz), 73-88, Cambridge University Press, 2002.

[10] Yu. Bilu, M. Illengo, Effective Siegel's Theorem for Modular Curves, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **43** (2011), 673-688.

[11] Yu. Bilu, P. Parent, Runge's Method and Modular Curves, *Int. Math. Res. Notes* **2011**(9) (2011), 1997-2027.

[12] Yu. Bilu, P. Parent, Serres uniformity problem in the split Cartan case, *Ann. Math.* **173** (2011), 569-584.

[13] Yu. Bilu, P. Parent, M. Rebolledo, Rational points on $X_0^{+}(p^r)$, *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, to appear; arXiv:1104.4641.

[14] Y. Bugead, K. Győry, Bounds for the solutions of unit equations, *Acta Arithm.* **74** (1996), 67-80.

[15] E. Dobrowolski, On a question of Lehmer and the number of irreducible factors of a polynomial, *Acta Arithm.* **34** (1979), 391-401.

[16] E. Friedman, Analytic formulas for regulators of number fields, *Invent. Math.* **98** (1989), 599-622.

[17] A. Fröhlich, T. Martin, *Algebraic number theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **27**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.

[18] K. Győry, K. Yu, Bounds for the solutions of S-unit equations and decomposable form equations, *Acta Arithm.* **123** (2006), 9-41.

[19] N.Koblitz, *p-adic Numbers, p-adic Analysis, and Zeta-Functions*, GTM **58**, Second edition, Springer, New York, 1984.

[20] D. S. Kubert, S. Lang, *Modular units*, Grund. Math. Wiss. **244**, Springer, New York-Berlin, 1981.

[21] S. Lang, *Introduction to modular forms*, Grund. Math. Wiss. **222**, Springer, New York-Berlin, 1976.

[22] E. M. Matveev, An explicit lower bound for a homogeneous rational linear form in the logarithms of algebraic numbers II, *Izv. Math.* **64**(6) (2000), 1217-1269.

[23] G. Shimura, *Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions*, Publ. Math. Soc. Japan 11, Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo; Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971.

[24] C. L. Siegel, Über einige Anwendungen diophantischer Approximationen, *Abh. Pr. Akad. Wiss.* (1929), no. 1. (=*Ges. Abh.* I, 209-266, Springer, 1966.)

[25] C. L. Siegel, Abschätzung von Einheiten, *Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Gottingen II. Math.-Phys. Kl.* **9** (1969), 71-86.
[26] L. C. Washington, *Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields*, Springer-Verlag, 1982.
[27] K. Yu, P-adic logarighmic forms and group varieties III, *Forum Math.* **19**(2007), 187-280.

Institut de Mathematiques de Bordeaux, Universite Bordeaux 1, 33405 Talence Cedex, France
E-mail address: `shamin2010@gmail.com`